

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		8145	
09/780,431	02/12/2001	Hideki Sunaga	040679/1207	8143	
FOLEY& LARDNER Washington Harbour Suite 500 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007-5109			EXAMINER LE, DANG D		
			2834		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
1		09/780,43		SUNAGA ET AL.	M
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Dang D L	e	2834	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the	e cover sh	eet with the correspondence addre	ss
Pariod for	Reply				
THE M - Extensions after S - If the IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. b) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and v	vent, however, atutory minimur will expire SIX (may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iunication.
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>08 October 20</u>	<u>002</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	s non-final		
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	ı for allowance exce tice under <i>Ex parte</i> (ept for form <i>Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as to the l 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
•	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from c	consideration	on.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) 8-12 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 13 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirem	ent.	
	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	□ -bioetos	to by the Evaminer	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)		in abovance See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Applicant may not request that any ob The proposed drawing correction file	jection to the drawing] approved	h) disapproved by the Examine	r.
11)	The proposed drawing correction file	on is. a)	Office action	on	
	If approved, corrected drawings are re			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
1	The oath or declaration is objected t	O by the Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	- for foreign priority	under 35	U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair		under 55	0.0.0.3 110(4) (4) (1)	
a	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		aaa racai	ved.	
	1. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have t	been recei	ved.	
	2. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have t	been recei	ved in Application No	Stage
	 Copies of the certified copie application from the Inte See the attached detailed Office act 	rnational Bureau (P	CIRULEI	ve been received in this National (7.2(a)). pies not received.	J.035
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priorit	ty under 35	SU.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
1	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign I ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clain	language provisiona	al application	on has been received.	
			,		
2\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ient(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	v (PTO-948) v) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	(s) · O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/780,431

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

The request filed on 10/8/02 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR
 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/780,431 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/780,431 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claims 1-7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sunaga et al. (6,297,572) in view of Yamaguchi et al.

Regarding claim 1, Sunaga et al. show the circuit protection case (20) formed of plastic and including a partition wall (28) which partitions the interior of the circuit protection case into a first chamber (left) containing the switching elements (41) and second chamber (right) containing the control circuit (33). Instead of making a single circuit substrate, Sunaga et al. show two separate substrates (33 and 41).

Yamaguchi et al. use a single circuit substrate (12) for the purpose of reducing parts.

Since Sunaga et al. and Yamaguchi et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the two circuit substrates as a single one as taught by Yamaguchi et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 13, because the partition wall (28) is made of plastic, it inherently inhibits heat generated in the first chamber from passing to the second chamber and vice versa. In addition, the claim is similar to claim 1. Therefore, claim 13 is also rejected as discussed above.

It is noted that claims 2-7 depend on claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-12 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,431

Art Unit: 2834

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the record of prior art does not show a brushless motor comprising terminal pins extending from the coils of the stator and connecting bus bars held by an inner case installed in the circuit protection case, each connecting bus bar having one end welded to a given part of the control section of the drive circuit and the other end welded to corresponding one of the terminal pins as shown in claim 8.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

dany Lh

DDL November 12, 2002

DC